

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION	NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/660,98	34	09/12/2003	Steven W. Meeks	20830-08288	6114	
758	7590	04/07/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	ICK & WES		NGUYEN, TU T			
	LIFORNIA S			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94041				2877		

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			He
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	۳
	10/660,984	MEEKS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tu T. Nguyen	2877	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addr	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IT THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day of If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI y statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this com BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	1		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐	☐ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u			nerits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Extendard for the subject of the s	ithdrawn from consideration. and/or election requirement. caminer. 203 is/are: a) accepted or b) to the drawing(s) be held in abeya correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	? 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doce 2. Certified copies of the priority doce 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International I * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in a le priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National S	tage
Attachment(s)	, 	0	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO-Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	948) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-1 	152)

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed on 10/27/2003 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each foreign patent; each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Application/Control Number: 10/660,984 Page 3

Art Unit: 2877

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 1 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,665,078. This is a double patenting rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tu T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2424. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F 7:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank G.Font can be reached on (571) 272-2415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tu T. Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2877

linguyer

04/01/2004